ABERDEEN CITY COUNCIL

COMMITTEE Audit, Risk & Scrutiny Committee

DATE 24 November 2016

DIRECTOR N/A

TITLE OF REPORT Outstanding Internal Audit Recommendations

Pre 2015/16

REPORT NUMBER N/A

CHECKLIST COMPLETED Yes

1. PURPOSE OF REPORT

1.1 This report advises the Committee of progress Services have made with implementing recommendations agreed in Internal Audit reports issued by PWC.

2. RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 The Committee is requested to review, discuss and comment on the issues raised within this report and the attached appendix.

3. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

3.1 There are no financial implications arising as a result of this report.

4. FOLLOW UP OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 4.1 On 27 September 2016, the Committee was advised that there were 10 recommendations, made previously by PWC, which had not been implemented by their due date of before the end of July 2016.
- 4.2 There are currently 8 agreed Internal Audit recommendations, which were due to be implemented by the end of September 2016, that have not yet been implemented. The detail relating to these is shown in the attached appendix.

5. REPORT AUTHOR DETAILS

David Hughes, Chief Internal Auditor David.Hughes@aberdeenshire.gov.uk (01224) 664184

Outstanding Internal Audit Recommendations

Appendix A

	sued	Dating				
Carefirst Fe		Rating		Officer	Due Date	
	reb-15	Risk Rating – Medium 1. Management should assign responsibility for reviewing and actioning the unmatched transactions report. Management may consider creating a dedicated role for this task as it would benefit from a technical understanding of CareFirst, knowledge of the Council's financial arrangements with suppliers and all client groups. 2. Agreement will be reached on the criteria/ parameters to be used for deciding whether transactions should be investigated or not. The unmatched transaction report will be modified by the CareFirst Team to ensure it only includes the transactions for assessment before it is issued to the individual responsible for reviewing and actioning. 3. Dummy invoices will be processed in CareFirst to remove illegitimate transactions. This will not	Update for March 2016 Committee: Progress has been further delayed by the long term absence of a service manager resulting in the lead for the project having to cover operational services Update for April 2016 Committee: 1. An officer has been assigned to review the unmatched transactions report, and has recently commenced work on the review. Early findings suggest that carefirst entries are correct, so it is not presently understood why these entries appear on the unmatched report. A meeting will be set up in the near future with finance and carefirst colleagues to investigate why these items appear on the unmatched transaction report. 2. As for 1	Head of Joint Operations Update for March 2016 Committee: This work stream will sit with the Integrated Social Care Partnership Responsible Officer will be Lead Service Manager (Older People).	30-Jun-15	31-Mar-16 Update provided to April 2016 Committee Meeting: Now 30-Sep-16 Update for November 2016 Meeting: Recs 1 – 5 will be complete by the end of November 2016

The individual responsible for the	4. As for 1		
unmatched transaction report should			
also be responsible for this task.			
4. To ensure temporarily suspended			
care packages do not accrue costs,			
the person responsible for reviewing			
and actioning the unmatched			
transactions report should enter			
variances to offset the amount. A list			
of users who are not closing care			
packages as per the guidelines	5. As for 1		
should be issued to Service			
Managers for appropriate action.			
5. The completed unmatched			
transaction report should be			
reviewed and signed off by the			
responsible person's line manager			
on a monthly basis.			
6. Consideration will be given to	6. Discussions are taking place to		
separating the roles of those who	include this in the role of the 'care		
assess and manage frontline client	bureau' which is in the process of		
needs and those who are sourcing	being set up within care		
the supply of care and subsequently	management.		
recording the care on the system.			
Lindata for November 2016 Committee:			

<u>Update for November 2016 Committee:</u>

Recommendations 1, 2, 4, 5 – A report will be developed by the careFirst team which will highlight service users where there has been no invoicing activity for more than 12 weeks. Individual emails will be sent to the named worker on careFirst, or the relevant team, for action. The email will also contain guidance on the action required. Where action is not taken, initial escalation is to the Administrative Officer. A weekly overview report will be sent to the Admin Officer and Team Managers. This process to be in place by 30.11.16 and first reports to be run and distributed on 01.12.16. Process will be monitored and reviewed via the existing 8 weekly meeting between finance and business management staff.

Recommendation 3 – A dummy invoice process will be developed by Finance Control Team for 30.11.16.

Recommendation 6 – The Resource Co-ordinator post has been developed and the recruitment process has commenced. This post will respond to the recommendation of separating the assessment of care needs from the sourcing of care. The initial recording of the need for a care package onto the system will be carried out by the Resource Co-ordinator, however, once the package of care has commenced the ongoing maintenance of the client's care records will remain the responsibility of the front line worker.

Corporate	Apr-15	Risk Rating - High				
Landlord	-	(3) For each property type, standard	(3) This work is still in progress. A	Director of	31-May-16	31-Mar-17
Responsibilities		indicators of utilisation should be	Property Strategy has been drafted	Communities,		
		agreed to allow for benchmarking	which incorporates an Action Plan	Housing and		As reported to
		and evaluation of value for money.	highlighting that utilisation is an area	Infrastructure		Committee in
			for further development. Some			September
			elements of the portfolio have been			2016
			considered on a project by project			
			basis across the estate, in particular			
			reviews of community assets. There			
			are long standing utilisation			
			assessments in place for corporate			
			offices and schools. Potential			
			methods for assessing properties in the wider estate have been devised			
			and will be discussed with Services			
			when resources are made available.			
			The Service is currently looking to			
			recruit to vacancies with			
			appointments in place early next			
			year. Associated work will be			
			prioritised between then and the			
			beginning of the next financial year.			
		(4) Schedules of reporting on the	(4) Within the draft Property Strategy			

agreed asset utilisation information should be arranged with the different service areas.	a Property Performance Report has been proposed which will be submitted to Committee. It is currently under development and will include utilisation indicators.	31-May-16	31-Mar-17 As reported to Committee in September 2016
---	--	-----------	--